1	JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CSBN 44332) United States Attorney	
2	,	
3	BRIAN J. STRETCH (CSBN 163973) Chief, Criminal Division	
4	ANDREW P. CAPUTO (CSBN 203655)	
5	Dia	
6	450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-7004 RICHARD W. WIEKING NORTHERN DISTRICT COURT	
7	San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-7004 Fax: (415) 436-7234	
	Email: andrew.caputo@usdoj.gov	
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
9		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
13	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, No. 3-09-71021 JCS	
14	Plaintiff, STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]	
15	v.) ORDER EXCLUDING TIME FROM SPEEDY TRIAL ACT CALCULATION	
16	ZHEN PAI LIU, (18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A)) AND EXTENDING TIME PURSUANT TO FED	
17	Defendant. R. CRIM. P. 5.1	
18)	
19	With the agreement of the parties, and with the consent of defendant Zhen Pai Liu, the	
20	Court enters this order documenting (a) an exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18	
21	U.S.C. § 3161(b), from August 10, 2010, to September 24, 2010, and (b) an extension to	
22	September 24, 2010, of the time for holding a preliminary hearing pursuant to Federal Rule of	
23	Criminal Procedure 5.1. The parties agree, and the Court finds and holds, as follows:	
24	1. Defendant Zhen Pai Liu has been charged by complaint. The parties agree to an	
25	exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial Act. Defendant's counsel wishes to review discovery	
26	materials and to consult with his client about those discovery materials and about the case.	
27	Accordingly, failure to grant the requested continuance would unreasonably deny defendant's	
28	counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of	

,7

due diligence, in this case.

- 2. Given these circumstances, the Court found that the ends of justice served by excluding the period from August 10, 2010, to September 24, 2010, outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. <u>Id.</u> at § 3161(h)(7)(A). For the same reasons, the Court found good cause to delay a preliminary hearing until September 24, 2010, pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 5.1(d). Defendant consented to this delay in the preliminary hearing.
- 3. Accordingly, and with the consent of the defendant, at the hearing on August 10, 2010, the Court ordered that the period from August 10, 2010, to September 24, 2010, be excluded from Speedy Trial Act calculations under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) & (B)(iv). Also with the content of the defendant, the Court ordered that any preliminary hearing be continued until September 24, 2010.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

DATED: August 10, 2010	/s/ HUGH ANTHONY LEVINE Attorney for Defendant
DATED: August 10, 2010	/s/ ANDREW P. CAPUTO Assistant United States Attorney

[PROPOSED] ORDER

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: DIO ELIZABETH D. LAPORTE United States Magistrate Judge